

Original Article

## Effects of Red, Green and Black Pepper on Intestinal Motility and Post-Prandial Bicarbonate Concentration in Guinea Pigs.

Christian Charles<sup>1</sup>, \*Onyebuchi Obia<sup>2</sup>, Furo Dawari Emmanuel<sup>2</sup>, Azubuike Ogba<sup>3</sup>, Sunday Ogbu Ojeka<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Human Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

### Abstract

**Background:** In many cultures, pepper is used for its dietary and medicinal benefits. The aim of the present study was to investigate and compare the effects of different varieties of pepper on intestinal motility and post-prandial bicarbonate concentration in guinea pigs.

**Methodology:** The study was conducted using 50 adult guinea pigs separated into groups of 5 each; Group 1 served as control. The experimental groups received respectively black, green, and red pepper extracts in concentrations of 25mg/kg, 50mg/kg, and 75mg/kg. For each experimental group, pepper was administered together with a mixture of Evans blue dye and Arabic gum and allowed for one hour. Each animal was thereafter, anesthetized and the intestines dissected out. For each animal, the total length of the intestine as well as the distance travelled by the test meal from the pyloric sphincter were measured and recorded. Intestinal transit was expressed as the percentage of the distance travelled by the test meal to the total length of the intestine. Concomitantly, blood samples were collected to determine the post-prandial bicarbonate concentration.

**Result:** The result showed that all three varieties of pepper caused a significant rise in the percentage of intestinal transit (in 1hr) ( $p < 0.05$ ) and by implication reduction in the intestinal transit time. However, a significant dose-dependent effect was observed in the groups receiving black and green pepper respectively ( $p < 0.05$ ). This suggests an inverse relationship between the concentrations of black and green pepper with the intestinal transit time. The post-prandial bicarbonate concentrations of the different experimental groups were not significantly changed compared to their control ( $p > 0.05$ ). This suggests that none of the pepper types given their respective concentrations significantly stimulated gastric acid secretion.

**Conclusion:** All three pepper types increased intestinal motility without causing any significant effect on gastric acid secretion.

**Keywords:** Pepper; Intestinal Motility; Post-Prandial Bicarbonate.

**\*Correspondence:** Onyebuchi Obia, Department of Human Physiology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. **E-mail:** [onyebuchi.obia@ust.edu.ng](mailto:onyebuchi.obia@ust.edu.ng)

**How to cite:** Charles C, Obia O, Emmanuel FD, Ogba A, Ojeka SO. Effects of Red, Green and Black Pepper on Intestinal Motility and Post-Prandial Bicarbonate Concentration in Guinea Pigs. *Niger Med J* 2025;66(2):433-439. <https://doi.org/10.71480/nmj.v66i2.539>.

Quick Response Code:



## Introduction

The characteristic 'hot' taste of pepper makes it a commonly consumed food spice globally. It has been used over the years for its enormous dietary and medicinal benefits [1, 2]. Although pepper exists in different forms, the red, green, and black varieties are the most consumed. However, amongst these three varieties, the preferred type will depend on individual, family, and cultural choices. The primary bioactive substance in red and green pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) is capsaicin [3, 4] while that of black pepper (*piper nigrum*) is piperine [5, 6, 7]. These bioactive substances are responsible for the pungent taste of pepper and its biological effects including antioxidant [8, 9], anti-inflammatory, and analgesic effects. Animal studies suggest that the bioactive constituents of pepper may improve memory and overall brain function, lower plasma cholesterol levels, and improve the bioavailability of certain nutrients in the gut [10, 11, 12]. Pepper, either in its raw form or as a food spice, has over the years gained acceptability in the home care of people with some gastrointestinal disorders. It can either be given to suit the stomach or discontinued as a means of management. The effect of pepper on gastric acidity and intestinal motility is not well established [13] but a few studies have shown that it may have a laxative effect [1, 14]. The aim of the present study was to investigate and compare the effect of three varieties of pepper (red, green, and black) on intestinal motility and post-prandial serum bicarbonate in guinea pigs. Due to the changing dietary patterns especially in most urban settings, the prevalence of disorders of gastrointestinal transit and motility (including constipation and diarrheal diseases) is rising [15, 16]. The results of this study will be useful in the development of agents that have the capacity to modulate the activities of the gastrointestinal tract. Since pepper is a constituent of every meal, results from this study will be useful in determining the type of pepper to be added as part of nutritional support for persons suffering from constipation, diarrheal diseases, or other forms of gastrointestinal disorders.

## Methodology

This experiment was conducted at the animal house of the Department of Human Physiology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The Three varieties of pepper fruit (black, green, and red) used for the study were purchased from the mile 1 market, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, and identified/authenticated in the Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University of Port Harcourt. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics and Management Committee of the University of Port Harcourt with approval number; UPH/CEREMAD/REC/MM81/035 and the animals were handled according to institutional guidelines on animal handling. The fruits were first chopped into tiny bits before sun-drying for a period of four weeks, after which they were ground into powder with a mechanical grinding machine and prepared for extraction.

A total of fifty (50) adult guinea pigs weighing 800-1000g were used. These animals were first acclimatized for one week and for that period they were maintained in standard conditions and allowed access to water and standard feeds *ad libitum*. The animals were randomly separated into groups of 5 each as follows; the control group was given distilled water. The experimental groups were divided into three distinct categories according to the different varieties of pepper. That is black, green, and red categories with the respective colours inscribed on their skin. Each category comprised three groups which respectively received pepper extracts in concentrations of 25mg/kg, 50mg/kg, and 75mg/kg based on the lethal dose that was previously determined [17]. After acclimatization, the guinea pigs were starved for 24 hours prior to the experiment.

For each experimental group, pepper was administered together with a mixture of Evans blue dye and Arabic gum using oral gavage and allowed for one hour. Each animal was thereafter, anesthetized via inhalation of chloroform, and the intestines were dissected out; the total length of the intestine as well as the distance travelled by the test meal from the pyloric sphincter were measured and recorded. Intestinal transit was expressed as the percentage of the distance travelled by the test meal to the total length of the

intestine. Concomitantly, blood samples were collected via cardiac puncture to determine the post-prandial bicarbonate concentration which is an indirect measure of gastric acidity in animals [18].

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis was done using SPSS vs 23.0. Results were presented in tables and graphs. Continuous variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) while discrete variables were expressed in percentages (%). Significant statistical difference was determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at  $p < 0.05$  and 95% confidence interval.

## Results

**Table 1: Percentage of Intestinal transit in 1 hour following the administration of different doses of three varieties of pepper.**

Group	Black pepper	Green pepper	Red pepper
Control	40.98 $\pm$ 3.68	40.98 $\pm$ 3.68	40.98 $\pm$ 3.68
25mg/kg	58.58 $\pm$ 4.12*	66.61 $\pm$ 5.06*	73.05 $\pm$ 6.91*
50mg/kg	60.51 $\pm$ 4.20*	69.03 $\pm$ 5.42*	59.68 $\pm$ 4.19*
75mg/kg	62.38 $\pm$ 3.66*	73.36 $\pm$ 2.46*	63.30 $\pm$ 2.58*


Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. \* Significantly higher compared with the control group ( $p < 0.05$ ).

All three concentrations of black, green, and red pepper caused significant increases in the percentage of intestinal transit (in 1hr) compared to their control group. For the black and green pepper, the increase in intestinal motility was in a dose-dependent fashion whereas that of red pepper did not follow a dose-dependent pattern.

**Table 2: Post-prandial bicarbonate concentration (mmol/l) following administration of different doses of black, green, and red pepper.**


Group	Black pepper	Green pepper	Red pepper
Control	29.60 $\pm$ 0.75	29.60 $\pm$ 0.75	29.60 $\pm$ 0.75
25mg/kg	25.80 $\pm$ 1.59	27.20 $\pm$ 1.98	28.60 $\pm$ 3.28
50mg/kg	24.20 $\pm$ 1.28	24.40 $\pm$ 1.43	25.80 $\pm$ 1.43
75mg/kg	26.80 $\pm$ 1.98	27.60 $\pm$ 1.29	28.60 $\pm$ 1.63

No significant differences in post-prandial plasma bicarbonate concentrations were observed in the test groups compared to their control.



**Figure. 1: Comparing the percentage of intestinal transit in 1 hour following administration of the three varieties of pepper.** # Significantly lower compared to green pepper (*Dunnett t-test*).

Comparing the percentage intestinal transit (in 1hr) using the three varieties of pepper at the 25mg/kg and 50mg/kg concentrations, no significant differences were observed in the animals that received black, green, and red pepper. However, at 75mg/kg, green pepper had significantly higher intestinal transit compared to black and red pepper.



**Figure. 2: Relationship between intestinal transit in 1 hr (%) and the concentration of pepper.**

## Discussion

The present study showed that all three pepper varieties significantly increased intestinal transit, indicating that both capsaicin and piperine have significant effects on intestinal motility. Previous studies in human subjects suggest that capsaicin, which is the active agent in both green and red pepper has a profound effect on upper gastrointestinal motility and therefore facilitates secondary peristaltic contractility [19, 20]. This is possible by stimulation of capsaicin-sensitive afferents in the gastrointestinal tract [21] typically mediated by the activation of transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRV1) receptor. TRV1 receptors in the gastrointestinal tract are also involved in pain and temperature perception. Despite causing a significant dose-dependent increase in the intestinal transit of guinea pigs, the piperine content of black pepper has been shown in previous studies to improve the intestinal absorption of certain oral drugs and nutrients [22, 23] by stimulating both the pancreatic digestive enzymes and also the ultrastructure of the intestinal brush border [24]. This effect is essential in enhancing the bioavailability of orally administered substances, especially in any emergency where parenteral administration is contraindicated or not available. There is a direct relationship between the concentrations of both the black and green pepper with their intestinal transit as shown in the present study, so increasing the dosage of these pepper varieties increases intestinal transit and by implication reduces intestinal transit time. The present study could therefore suggest an inverse relationship between the concentrations of pepper (black and green) and intestinal transit time such that increasing the concentrations of these varieties will be associated with shorter intestinal transit time. The significant dose-dependent reduction in gastrointestinal transit time following the administration of black and green pepper could be beneficial in the dietary management of people with gastrointestinal disorders. Thus, increasing the dosage of these pepper varieties will further reduce intestinal transit time which could improve bowel opening in patients with constipation whereas reducing the dosages will increase the intestinal transit time and by implication reduce bowel opening which is beneficial in the management of diarrheal diseases. The increase in intestinal transit following the administration of red pepper was not dose-dependent so that increasing the dosage did not cause further changes in the intestinal transit. Comparative assessment of the effects of the three varieties of pepper on intestinal transit showed that there were no significant differences following oral administration of 25mg/kg and 50mg/kg. However, with the 75mg/kg dose, green pepper had significantly higher intestinal transit compared to the black and red varieties. Therefore, in conditions where higher concentrations of pepper are required to reduce intestinal transit time, green pepper should be preferred over the black and red varieties.

In many cultures, people believe that gastric ulcerations are either caused by spices or worsened by these. This is still not truly clear because some patients with gastric ulcerations would have their symptoms worsened when they consume pepper even moderately. It is well known that factors that either increase gastric acid secretion or reduce the amount of mucus in the stomach would worsen gastric ulcerations. Some studies have proven that pepper potentially suppresses gastric ulcers [25] even when the mechanism of action is yet unknown. The results of the present study showed that all three varieties of pepper did not cause any significant change in the plasma post-prandial bicarbonate concentrations (which is an indirect measure of gastric acidity). Although some studies suggest that piperine stimulates gastric acid secretion [26] via the TRV1 receptors, other studies with capsaicin reported inhibition of gastric acid output [27]. This study suggests that in persons with peptic ulceration, it is likely that the intestinal motility (spasm) is associated with the consumption of pepper spices that triggers their ulcer pain and probably does not increase gastric acidity. Intense spasms associated with eating pepper have even been reported by a researcher to cause perforations in the stomach [28]. Our study involved guinea pigs but further studies on human subjects may be necessary to elucidate our findings. Conclusively, the results suggest that all three varieties of pepper increased intestinal motility without causing any possible significant effects on gastric acidity in guinea pigs.

## References

1. Mehmood MH, Gilani AH. Pharmacological basis for the medicinal use of black pepper and piperine in gastrointestinal disorders. *Journal of medicinal food* 2011;13(5):1086–1096.
2. Jiang TA. Health Benefits of Culinary Herbs and Spices. *Journal of AOAC International* 2019;102(2), 395–411.
3. Batiha GE, Alqahtani A, Ojo OA, Shaheen HM, Wasef L, Elzeiny M, et al. Biological Properties, Bioactive Constituents, and Pharmacokinetics of some *Capsicum* spp. and Capsaicinoids. *International journal of molecular sciences* 2020;21(15):5179.
4. Pasiński M, Szulczyk B. Beneficial Effects of Capsaicin in Disorders of the Central Nervous System. *Molecules* 2022;27(8):2484. doi: 10.3390/molecules27082484.
5. Takooree H, Aumeeruddy MZ, Rengasamy KRR, Venugopala KN, Jeewon R, Zengin G, Mahomoodally MF. A systematic review on black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.): from folk uses to pharmacological applications. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* 2019;59(sup1), S210–S243.
6. Derosa G, Maffioli P, Sahebkar A. Piperine and Its Role in Chronic Diseases. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* 2016;928, 173–184.
7. Haq IU, Imran M, Nadeem M, Tufail T, Gondal TA, Mubarak MS. Piperine: A review of its biological effects. *Phytotherapy research: PTR* 2021;35(2), 680–700.
8. Diniz do Nascimento L, Moraes AAB, Costa KSD, Pereira Galúcio JM, Taube PS, Costa CML, et al. Bioactive Natural Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of Essential Oils from Spice Plants: New Findings and Potential Applications. *Biomolecules* 2020;10(7):988.
9. Verma N, Bal S, Gupta R, Aggarwal N, Yadav A. Antioxidative Effects of Piperine against Cadmium-Induced Oxidative Stress in Cultured Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes. *Journal of dietary supplements* 2020;17(1):41–52.
10. Jang HH, Lee J, Lee SH, Lee YM. Effects of *Capsicum annum* supplementation on the components of metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific reports* 2020;10(1):20912.
11. Ademuyiwa OH, Fasogbon BM, Adebo OA. The potential role of *Piper guineense* (black pepper) in managing geriatric brain aging: a review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* 2023;63(16): 2840–2850.
12. Dłudła PV, Cirilli I, Marcheggiani F, Silvestri S, Orlando P, Muvhulawa N, et al. Bioactive Properties, Bioavailability Profiles, and Clinical Evidence of the Potential Benefits of Black Pepper (*Piper nigrum*) and Red Pepper (*Capsicum annum*) against Diverse Metabolic Complications. *Molecules* 2023;28(18):6569.
13. Jones MP, Bratten JR. Small intestinal motility. *Current opinion in gastroenterology* 2008;24(2):164–172.

14. Chen D, Xiong Y, Lin Y, Tang Z, Wang J, Wang L, Yao J. Capsaicin alleviates abnormal intestinal motility through regulation of enteric motor neurons and MLCK activity: Relevance to intestinal motility disorders. *Molecular nutrition & food research* 2015;59(8):1482–1490.
15. Tabbers MM, Benninga MA. Constipation in children: fibre and probiotics. *BMJ clinical evidence*, 2015;2015:0303.
16. Bharucha AE, Lacy BE. Mechanisms, Evaluation, and Management of Chronic Constipation. *Gastroenterology* 2020;158(5):1232–1249.e3.
17. Pires OC, Taquemasa AVC, Akisue G, Oliveira FO, Araújo CEP. Preliminary comparative analysis of the acute toxicity and median lethal dose (DL50) of the fruit of the Brazilian Black Pepper (*Schinus terebinthifolius Raddi*) and Black pepper (*Piper nigrum L.*). *Acta Farm Bonaerense* 2004; 23:176-182.
18. Apugo UI, Obia O. Modulatory Effects of Honey on Gastric Acidity and Plasma Postprandial Bicarbonate in Wistar Rats. *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics* 2020;10(3):48-50.
19. Gonzalez R, Dunkel R, Koletzko B, Schusdziarra V, Allescher HD. Effect of capsaicin-containing red pepper sauce suspension on upper gastrointestinal motility in healthy volunteers. *Digestive diseases and sciences* 1998;43(6):1165–1171.
20. Chen CL, Liu TT, Yi WC. Effect of Capsaicin-containing red pepper sauce suspension on esophageal secondary peristalsis in humans. *Neurogastroenterology and motility* 2010;22 (11):1177-e313.
21. Fischer MJM, Ciotu CI, Szallasi A. The Mysteries of Capsaicin-Sensitive Afferents. *Frontiers in physiology* 2020; 11:554195.
22. Jin MJ, Han HK. Effect of piperine, a major component of black pepper, on the intestinal absorption of fexofenadine and its implication on food-drug interaction. *Journal of Food Science* 2010;75(3):H93–H96.
23. Feng WM, Bao Y, Tang CW, Fei MY, Guo HH, Tao YL, Xue T, Gong H, Cui G, Wang Y. Optimal selection of methods for mini-invasive treatment of extrahepatic bile duct stones. *Hepato-gastroenterology* 2014;61(130), 299–303.
24. Srinivasan K. Black pepper and its pungent principle-piperine: a review of diverse physiological effects. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* 2007;47(8):735–748.
25. Duan Z, Yu S, Wang S, Deng H, Guo L, Yang H, Xie H. Protective Effects of Piperine on Ethanol-Induced Gastric Mucosa Injury by Oxidative Stress Inhibition. *Nutrients* 2020;14(22):4744.
26. Ononiwu IM, Ibeneme CE, Ebong OO. Effects of piperine on gastric acid secretion in albino rats. *African journal of medicine and medical sciences* 2002;31(4):293–295.
27. Imatake K, Matsui T, Moriyama M. The effect and mechanism of action of capsaicin on gastric acid output. *Journal of Gastroenterology* 2009;44(5):396–404.
28. Landau O, Gutman H, Ganor A, Nudelman I, Rivlin E, Reiss R. Post-pepper pain, perforation, and peritonitis. *JAMA* 1992;268(13):1686.