

Review Article

Customer Service Delivery in Clinical Laboratories: Challenges, Innovations, and Policy Directions for Strengthening Quality Management Systems in Nigeria.

Izuchukwu Nnachi Mba¹, *Bruno Basil², Blessing Kenechi Myke-Mbata³

¹Department of Chemical Pathology, Nile University of Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria.

²International Institute of Pathology and Forensic Science Research, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Uburu, Nigeria. ³Department of Chemical Pathology, Rev. Fr. Moses Orshio Adasu University, Makurdi, Nigeria.

Abstract

Background: Clinical laboratories are central to healthcare delivery in Nigeria, yet service quality is frequently undermined by infrastructural deficits and weak quality management systems (QMS). Beyond technical accuracy, customer experience is critical to patient trust and treatment adherence. Persistent challenges such as unstable power supply, workforce shortages, and the absence of mandatory accreditation continue to erode public confidence. This review synthesizes these systemic challenges and evaluates the intersection of QMS and customer service to propose actionable reforms for the national laboratory network.

Methodology: A narrative synthesis was conducted on literature published between January 2010 and October 2025. Searches in PubMed, Google Scholar, and African Journals Online identified 317 records. Following a PRISMA-based screening process, 68 articles were eligible, and 36 were purposively selected for thematic synthesis. Data were analyzed to classify findings into systemic challenges, innovations, and policy recommendations using a quality appraisal matrix.

Results: Service delivery was found to be severely constrained by structural barriers, including over 90% reliance on unstable national power grids and a critical workforce shortage, with ratios as low as one laboratory scientist to 5,000 individuals. These deficits drive process failures such as poor adherence to Standard Operating Procedures and low accreditation uptake, resulting in prolonged turnaround times and weak patient communication. Conversely, innovations including Laboratory Information Management Systems, Point-of-Care Testing, and the WHO-AFRO SLIPTA program demonstrated measurable improvements in error reduction and patient satisfaction.

Conclusion: Improving customer service in Nigerian clinical laboratories requires a paradigm shift that positions patient experience as a core component of quality assurance. Sustainable improvement depends on competency-based licensing, mandatory accreditation for tertiary facilities, and strategic infrastructure investment to integrate customer-centered practices within robust QMS frameworks.

Keywords: Customer service, Clinical laboratories, Quality management systems, Nigeria, Accreditation, SLIPTA, Healthcare policy.

***Correspondence:** Basil Bruno, International Institute of Pathology and Forensic Science Research, David Umahi Federal University of Health Sciences, Uburu, Nigeria. Email: basilb@dufuhs.edu.ng, Phone: +234 703 962 0985

How to Cite: Mba IN, Basil B, Myke-Mbata BK. Customer Service Delivery in Clinical Laboratories: Challenges, Innovations, and Policy Directions for Strengthening Quality Management Systems in Nigeria. *Niger Med J* 2025; 66 (5): 1710-1724. <https://doi.org/10.71480/nmj.v66i5.1086>

Quick Response Code:



Background

Clinical laboratories are the bedrock of modern healthcare, generating the diagnostic information that constitutes the vast majority of empirical medical data and drives approximately 70% of clinical decisions[1,2]. Although technical accuracy remains paramount, the quality of laboratory services is increasingly defined by the "customer experience," which encompasses turnaround time (TAT), communication clarity, and staff responsiveness[3,4]. In an era of patient-centered care, these service dimensions are critical surrogate markers of healthcare quality, directly influencing patient trust and treatment adherence[5,6].

In Nigeria, however, the delivery of efficient, patient-centric laboratory services faces profound challenges. Despite the heavy burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases requiring robust diagnostics, Nigerian laboratories frequently suffer from systemic infrastructure deficits, including unstable power supplies and supply chain disruptions[7,8]. Unlike high-resource settings where service delays are often administrative, in Nigeria and other similar settings, they are frequently structural, resulting from factors like equipment downtime, reagent stock-outs and so on[8,9]. These constraints manifest directly as customer service failures which include prolonged waiting times, delayed results, and a lack of communication that erodes public confidence in the healthcare system[10,11].

A primary driver of these service failures is the weak implementation of Quality Management Systems (QMS). Accreditation, which serves as the framework for standardized service delivery, remains critically low across the country[8,12]. Although donor-funded programs for HIV and tuberculosis have successfully introduced quality standards like the WHO-AFRO SLIPTA (Stepwise Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation), these gains have not been uniformly adopted in broader hospital laboratories[13]. Consequently, many facilities lack the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) necessary to ensure consistent, timely, and responsive service.

The combination of poor QMS and weak customer service creates a cycle of inefficiency that compromises patient safety and increases diagnostic errors. Addressing this requires moving beyond technical fixes to a holistic approach that views the patient experience as a core component of laboratory quality[4,14]. Therefore, this review aims to: (1) synthesize the systemic challenges undermining customer service in Nigerian laboratories; (2) highlight innovations such as SLMTA (Strengthening Laboratory Management Toward Accreditation) and digital feedback systems that have demonstrated success; and (3) propose actionable policy directions to institutionalize patient-centered care within the national laboratory network.

Methods

Study Design and Search Strategy

This review employed a narrative synthesis approach to evaluate customer service delivery and Quality Management Systems (QMS) in Nigerian clinical laboratories. To ensure methodological rigor and minimize bias, the review process was guided by systematic search principles. A comprehensive literature search was conducted for articles and reports published between January 2010 and October 2025.

Electronic databases searched included PubMed, Google Scholar, and African Journals Online. Additionally, grey literature and institutional reports were sourced from the websites of relevant organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO), the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC), the Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN), and the Medical Laboratory Science Council of Nigeria (MLSCN). The search strategy utilized Boolean operators to combine key terms, including: "clinical laboratory," "customer service," "quality management," "SLMTA," "SLIPTA," and "Nigeria".

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were selected based on the following inclusion criteria: publications had to be peer-reviewed original research, review articles, government policy documents, program implementation reports, or relevant grey literature. They needed to be conducted within Nigeria or comparable low-resource settings in Sub-Saharan Africa that offered transferable insights, and they had to explicitly address laboratory service quality, client satisfaction, turnaround time, or quality management system implementation, including SLMTA/SLIPTA programs.

Exclusion criteria included articles unrelated to the Sub-Saharan African context, studies lacking relevance to the intersection of customer service and laboratory management, and records without accessible full text.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Study selection followed a PRISMA-style flow adapted for a narrative review. Of the 317 records identified through database and hand searches, 201 remained after duplicate removal and were screened by title and abstract for relevance to laboratory quality management and customer service in low-resource settings. Following full-text assessment, 68 articles were deemed eligible. From this eligible pool, 36 studies were purposively selected for the thematic synthesis based on data richness and direct relevance to the Nigerian context. The remaining cited references were utilized solely for background context, global definitions, and theoretical framing. Data extraction for the included studies focused on study characteristics, reported service delivery challenges, interventions (innovations), and policy recommendations.

Quality Assessment

To ensure the reliability of the narrative synthesis, a quality appraisal matrix was applied to the included studies. Each study was evaluated using four criteria: (1) Relevance, assessing direct applicability to the review objectives, including customer service and QMS; (2) Clarity of Evidence, examining the presence of clear data on service indicators such as turnaround time and patient satisfaction scores; (3) Methodological Robustness, considering the validity of the study design; and (4) Policy Applicability, evaluating the feasibility of recommendations within the Nigerian healthcare context. This structured approach facilitated a consistent appraisal across heterogeneous study designs and supported the integration of diverse evidence into the review.

Data Synthesis

Due to the heterogeneity of the included studies, ranging from quantitative surveys to qualitative policy documents, a meta-analysis was not feasible. Instead, data were analyzed using a thematic synthesis approach. An inductive coding process was employed to identify recurring patterns and categorize findings into three core themes: (1) Systemic and operational challenges, (2) Innovations and best practices, and (3) Policy gaps and recommendations. This structured approach allowed for the integration of diverse evidence sources to provide a holistic view of laboratory service delivery in Nigeria.

Main Text

Elements of Customer Service in Clinical Laboratories

Customer service in clinical laboratories is a multifaceted construct that directly influences patient satisfaction, clinical decision-making, and overall healthcare outcomes. While laboratories adhering to international best practices typically maintain Turnaround Times (TAT) under 24 hours for routine chemistry tests, the reality in Nigeria presents a stark contrast. Studies in Nigerian tertiary hospitals indicate that delayed TAT, frequently exceeding 48 hours, is a primary driver of delayed clinical decisions and heightened patient anxiety[15,16]. This gap is not merely operational but clinical;

laboratories that meet recommended TAT standards demonstrate significantly higher clinician satisfaction and improved patient outcomes[17].

Equally vital are the interactions between laboratory staff and clients. In developed healthcare systems, soft skills are often integrated into technical training; however, in Nigeria, discourteous staff behaviour remains a prevalent complaint[18,19]. Courteous, responsive, and professional staff behaviour is critical as it fosters trust and reduces pre-analytical errors. For instance, clear communication regarding sample collection and expected result timelines has been shown to decrease errors by up to 15% in some Nigerian laboratories[20].

This challenge is not unique to Nigeria but is a shared burden across Sub-Saharan Africa. Similar to findings in Nigeria, surveys in Ethiopia and Tanzania reveal that over 70% of patients rate privacy, respectful treatment, and facility cleanliness as the primary determinants of satisfaction, often valuing these "process" metrics as highly as technical accuracy[11,21]. Collectively, these findings underscore that operational efficiency, professional interactions, and transparent processes define the quality of customer service globally, yet the implementation gap in Nigeria remains wide.

Systemic Challenges Driving Poor Service Delivery

Customer service failures in Nigerian laboratories are not isolated incidents but symptoms of deeper structural and operational deficits that deviate significantly from international quality standards.

Infrastructure and Resource Constraints

Service reliability is fundamentally compromised by physical infrastructure gaps that are rarely seen in developed nations. While reliable power is a given in high-resource settings, over 90% of Nigerian laboratories depend solely on the unstable national electricity grid[8]. This leads to frequent power outages that disrupt testing workflows and directly extend TAT. Furthermore, water quality monitoring is neglected in an equivalent proportion of facilities, compromising reagent preparation[8,22].

The physical environment further exacerbates patient dissatisfaction. National surveys indicate that basic facility conditions, such as inadequate waiting areas and the absence of clean toilets, directly degrade the patient experience, particularly in high-volume tertiary hospitals[10,23]. This infrastructural decay is mirrored in Uganda and Tanzania, where studies confirm that poor sanitation and lack of waiting space are consistent drivers of patient dissatisfaction, highlighting a regional crisis in laboratory infrastructure[24,25].

The Workforce Crisis

Human resource constraints remain a major barrier to responsive laboratory services in Nigeria. The health sector is affected by a sustained brain drain of skilled professionals, resulting in chronic workforce shortages and weakened service delivery[26]. Clinical laboratories are particularly impacted. Current estimates indicate a ratio of approximately one medical laboratory scientist to 5,000 individuals, far below recommended staffing levels and reflective of a severely overstretched workforce[27]. These pressures are compounded by a persistent shortage of pathologists nationwide, further limiting diagnostic oversight and quality assurance [28]. The situation has been intensified by ongoing emigration, with more than 900 medical laboratory scientists reported to have left the country in 2022 alone[27].

The impact on customer service is direct: high workloads correlate with staff fatigue, which in turn leads to reduced responsiveness, diminished empathy, and poor interpersonal communication[29,30]. Evidence from South Africa further supports this, where patient dissatisfaction was closely linked to perceptions of staff overwork, confirming that workforce shortages are a pan-African driver of poor service delivery[31].

Accreditation and Regulatory Voids

A major driver of inconsistent service is the lack of mandatory quality standards. Although accreditation is a standard requirement in developed health systems, it remains largely voluntary in Nigeria. A practical example of this gap is seen in a regional assessment in South-South Nigeria, which found that none of the participating laboratories were accredited, and fewer than half consistently implemented Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)[8]. Without these process controls that are mandatory in ISO 15189 compliant systems, service delivery remains unpredictable, leading to the long turnaround times and diagnostic errors that erode patient trust. This accreditation gap reflects a wider regional challenge, as evidence from Uganda demonstrates that variability in laboratory quality is largely attributable to weak regulatory oversight [24], a systemic deficiency that closely parallels the Nigerian context.

The Relationship between QMS and Customer Experience

The relationship between Quality Management Systems (QMS) and customer service in Nigeria is effectively framed by the Structure–Process–Outcome (SPO) model [32], which links system inputs to patient experiences.

Structure

Deficiencies in infrastructure and workforce create the foundation for service failure. Over 90% of laboratories rely on unstable national power grids, while staff-to-patient ratios are as low as 1:5,000 in public facilities [8,22,27,28]. These constraints undermine the essential pillars of QMS, specifically equipment maintenance and reliable information systems.

Process

These structural deficiencies drive process-level failures, characterized by non-adherence to Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and limited participation in external quality assessment. The absence of accreditation, a catalyst for standardized workflows, exacerbates this. Surveys in South-South Nigeria confirm widespread gaps in document control and SOP implementation [8].

Outcome

Failures in structure and process manifest directly as adverse patient outcomes. The lack of document control leads to lost results and prolonged turnaround times, while workforce competency gaps result in pre-analytical errors and ineffective communication. Ultimately, these deficiencies erode patient trust and compromise clinical decision-making [23,33].

In this context, strengthening QMS is not merely a technical requirement but a strategic intervention. By addressing structural inadequacies and standardizing processes, QMS implementation directly enhances the patient experience and reinforces confidence in the healthcare system.

Innovations and Best Practices

Despite these challenges, innovative approaches have demonstrated the potential to transform service delivery.

Technological Transformations

Technological innovations are bridging the gap between limited resources and service demands (Table 1)[34–37]. The adoption of Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS) in facilities such as Lancet Nigeria and public SLIPTA-enrolled labs has automated sample tracking, significantly reducing errors and improving TAT[34,36,38]. Additionally, Point-of-Care Testing (POCT) for HIV and malaria has decentralized service, minimizing the need for patient revisits and effectively eliminating wait times for critical diagnostics in rural areas[35].

Table 1: Technological Solutions for Customer Service Enhancement

Technology	Core Function	Impact on Customer Service
Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS)	Automates sample tracking and result reporting.	Reduces "lost sample" errors; ensures traceability and faster reporting[34].
Point-of-Care Testing (POCT)	Enables on-site, immediate diagnostic testing.	Eliminates wait times for critical results (e.g., HIV, Malaria); reduces patient anxiety[35].
SMS/Email Result Notifications	Automated push-notifications for test readiness.	Removes the need for patients to physically return to the lab just to check for results[36].
Electronic Queue Management	Digital ticketing and flow management.	Reduces crowding in waiting areas; lowers perceived waiting time[37].
Client Feedback Portals	Digital/Mobile platforms for immediate feedback.	Provides real-time data for continuous quality improvement (CQI)[36].

Structured Quality Improvement (SLIPTA/SLMTA)

The implementation of structured QMS programs has significantly advanced laboratory quality. The Stepwise Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA) guides laboratories through incremental improvements, specifically including a component on "Client Management"[39]. Laboratories participating in SLIPTA have demonstrated measurable gains in documentation and TAT[13].

Furthermore, the SLMTA mentorship model has been shown to improve organizational culture and client communication [40]. To effectively track this progress, successful laboratories adopt high-impact quantitative and qualitative metrics to monitor customer satisfaction (Table 2)[9,11,14,41–44]. Looking at the broader region, evidence from Lesotho demonstrates that embedding full-time mentorship accelerates accreditation readiness and sustains quality across laboratory essentials [45]. This comparative success suggests that Nigeria's continued adoption of these mentorship models is a viable path to closing the quality gap[13].

Table 2: High-Impact Indicators for Measuring Customer Satisfaction

Indicator Category	Key Metric	Method of Assessment	Frequency
Operational Efficiency	Turnaround Time (TAT)[9,41]	LIS Audit Logs vs. Defined Targets	Monthly
	Patient Wait Time[14]	Queue Tracking Sheets / Manual Observation	Weekly
Service Experience	Staff Courtesy & Empathy[11]	Patient Satisfaction Survey (Likert Scale)	Quarterly
	Environment/Cleanliness[11,42]	Structured Facility Checklist	Quarterly

Indicator Category	Key Metric	Method of Assessment	Frequency
Responsiveness	Complaint Resolution Rate[11,14]	Customer Service Log Analysis	Monthly
	Ease of Result Access[43,44]	Digital Service Usability Feedback	Bi-annually
Quality/Safety	Clarity of Instructions[14]	Exit Interviews / Post-Service Calls	Bi-annually
	Result Accuracy Perception[9]	Clinical Incident Reports	Monthly

Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)

To address the stark resource disparities between public and private sectors, Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) have emerged as a successful model. A notable example is the collaboration between the Nigerian government and Lancet Laboratories Nigeria, which operates under ISO 15189 standards [38]. These partnerships have facilitated knowledge transfer and introduced automation and "customer-centric" cultures into public spaces, providing a benchmark that public laboratories can aim for. Additionally, integrated service delivery models that coordinate HIV testing with general diagnostics have notably improved equipment utilization and quality assurance [43].

Policy Directions and Implementation Strategies

To ensure sustainable improvements in customer service delivery and diagnostic accuracy, Nigeria must pursue comprehensive policy reforms. These reforms should address the structural and operational weaknesses of the clinical laboratory system. Current legislative frameworks are often limited to voluntary participation or fee-based licensing, which has failed to enforce consistent quality standards. The following prioritized strategies outline a roadmap for regulatory bodies, policymakers, and laboratory managers, supported by evidence of what works in similar settings.

Short-Term Priorities (0–2 Years)

Enforcement of Competency-Based Licensing:

The Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria (MDCN) and the Medical Laboratory Science Council of Nigeria (MLSCN) must shift the paradigm of annual facility relicensing of pathologists and other laboratorians from a fiscal exercise to a quality assurance milestone. Global reviews affirm that aligning regulatory frameworks with competency-based licensing improves adherence to quality standards in low-resource settings[46]. Licensing renewal should be contingent upon evidence of functional customer service protocols, such as documented complaint logs and Turnaround Time (TAT) monitoring. To ensure compliance, regulatory bodies must deploy robust Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) tools, including unannounced spot-checks and "mystery client" audits. Furthermore, this accountability must extend to the individual level. The Annual Performance Evaluation Report (APER) forms used in public service should be revised to assign significant weight to "Customer Service Delivery" and "Patient Interaction," ensuring that staff progression is directly linked to service quality.

Mandatory Implementation of Feedback Mechanisms:

Regulatory policy must mandate that every registered laboratory implements a structured feedback system to promote transparency. Practical application in Nigerian laboratories has demonstrated that structured feedback initiatives can lead to a 20% improvement in patient satisfaction scores within one year [15]. Additionally, facilities that integrate survey findings into their quality improvement plans are significantly more likely to achieve higher QMS star ratings [45]. This requirement must be context-specific, with private facilities adopting digital surveys while resource-constrained public centers utilize anonymous suggestion boxes or toll-free complaint lines [47]. These tools provide the data necessary to transition from reactive complaint handling to proactive service improvement.

Workforce Capacity Building in Soft Skills:

To address immediate gaps in staff responsiveness, policies should support subsidized national training programs targeting the "attitude gap." Studies indicate that clear communication regarding sample procedures and timelines can decrease pre-analytical errors by up to 15% [20]. Professional bodies should roll out Continuing Professional Development (CPD) modules that specifically focus on soft skills, ethics, and patient communication. By making these modules mandatory for license renewal, the workforce can be rapidly upskilled to prioritize patient trust without requiring significant infrastructure investment.

Long-Term Priorities (3–5 Years)

Transition to Mandatory Accreditation:

A legislative roadmap is needed to transition from voluntary to mandatory accreditation, ensuring Nigerian laboratories meet international benchmarks. The success of the Human Virology Laboratory in Nigeria, which achieved ISO 9001:2008 certification, demonstrates that structured quality initiatives improve performance, though sustainability remains a challenge without systemic support[48]. The WHO guidelines further identify accreditation as the primary driver of quality improvement [39,49]. Policies should enforce ISO 15189 compliance for all tertiary and national reference laboratories within a phased timeline. To support this, the Nigerian National Accreditation System (NiNAS) must be expanded and better resourced. Strengthening NiNAS will reduce reliance on costly foreign accreditors and ensure national ownership of quality assurance efforts.

Strategic Infrastructure Investment and Public-Private Partnerships (PPP):

To resolve physical deficits, the government must establish a dedicated budget for laboratory infrastructure renewal while leveraging private sector efficiency. Partnerships with ISO-accredited private chains, such as Lancet Laboratories Nigeria, have successfully facilitated knowledge transfer and the adoption of best practices in partner facilities [38]. Integrated service delivery models in HIV programs have also improved equipment utilization and quality assurance [43]. Government funding should prioritize alternative energy sources, such as solar inverters, to resolve the power instability that causes equipment downtime. Investment must also extend to Digital Health Integration; government budgets must support the phased rollout of Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS) to automate workflows and reduce turnaround times[36]. Simultaneously, policies should encourage PPPs for pooled procurement schemes, allowing public labs to access high-quality reagents at lower costs, thereby eliminating the reliance on substandard markets.

Academic Curriculum Reform:

The educational foundation of the workforce requires revision to ensure future professionals are "service-ready." Reviews of laboratory education in Africa highlight the necessity of revising curricula to include

modern technologies and soft skills to meet evolving healthcare demands [27]. The curriculum at the tertiary level should be updated to include Quality Management Systems (QMS) and Customer Relations as core, examinable modules. Embedding these components ensures that the next generation of laboratorians view patient satisfaction as integral to clinical excellence, rather than an optional add-on.

Strengths, Limitations, and Recommendations

Strengths and Limitations of this Review

This review presents a comprehensive synthesis of the intersection between customer service delivery and Quality Management Systems (QMS) in Nigerian clinical laboratories. A key strength of this study is its application of the Donabedian Structure–Process–Outcome (SPO) model to theoretically frame the relationship between systemic inputs (infrastructure and workforce) and patient-centered outcomes. By moving beyond a purely technical assessment of laboratory quality, this review highlights the often-overlooked dimension of the "customer experience" as a critical surrogate marker for healthcare quality in resource-limited settings. Furthermore, the inclusion of grey literature allows for a more holistic analysis of the regulatory landscape than would be possible through peer-reviewed literature alone.

However, this review is subject to certain limitations. First, the study employed a narrative synthesis design rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis. Consequently, while the search strategy was systematic, the lack of statistical pooling limits the ability to quantify the precise magnitude of the relationship between QMS implementation and customer satisfaction scores. Second, there was significant heterogeneity among the included studies, which ranged from single-center cross-sectional surveys to broader national policy reports; this variation complicates direct comparisons of satisfaction metrics across different geopolitical zones in Nigeria. Finally, the review was restricted to literature published in English between 2010 and 2025. This scope may inadvertently exclude relevant local data published in non-indexed journals or internal hospital reports, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings regarding rural or primary healthcare facilities

Recommendations for Stakeholders

Synthesizing the strategies detailed in this review, the following prioritized actions are recommended for stakeholders:

Regulatory Bodies: Enforce competency-based licensing that links annual renewal to evidence of customer service training and active feedback mechanisms.

Government: Mandate ISO 15189 accreditation for all tertiary health institutions and establish a dedicated fund for laboratory infrastructure upgrades, including solar power and LIMS.

Laboratory Management: Implement mandatory electronic feedback mechanisms (e.g., SMS surveys or QR codes) to track patient satisfaction and Turnaround Time (TAT) compliance.

Educational Institutions: Revise the pathology and medical laboratory science curriculum to include examinable modules on soft skills, bioethics, and customer relations.

Public-Private Partnerships: Expand PPP models to facilitate knowledge transfer, equipment leasing, and pooled procurement of high-quality reagents.

Conclusion

Customer service delivery in Nigerian clinical laboratories is currently hindered by systemic challenges such as lack of accreditation, infrastructural deficiencies, human resource constraints, and weak regulatory enforcement. However, innovative quality improvement frameworks like SLIPTA and SLMTA, along with client feedback mechanisms and public-private partnerships, offer promising models for improving laboratory services, particularly customer satisfaction. To sustainably address these

challenges, actionable policy directions such as enacting mandatory accreditation, investing in infrastructure and training, and strengthening regulatory oversight are essential. By adopting these strategies, Nigeria can enhance its laboratory quality management systems and ensure more reliable, responsive, and patient-centered diagnostic services.

List of Abbreviations

SLIPTA (Stepwise Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation), SLIMTA (Strengthening Laboratory Management Toward Accreditation) LIMS(Laboratory Information Management Systems), POCT (Point-of-care testing), PPP (public-private partnerships), WHO (World Health Organization), LQMS (Laboratory Quality Management System), NCDC (Nigerian Centre for Disease Control), NiNAS (National Accreditation System), MDCN (Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria) MLSCN (Medical Laboratory Science Council of Nigeria).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Not applicable.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Materials:

Not applicable.

Funding:

None received.

Competing Interest:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements:

Not applicable.

Authors' Contribution: Conceptualization – MIN; Writing the draft and Literature review – MIN, BB; Editing and finalizing draft – MIN, BB.

References:

Olver P, Bohn MK, Adeli K. Central role of laboratory medicine in public health and patient care. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2023;61(5):666–73. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2022-1075>

Rohr UP, Binder C, Dieterle T, Giusti F, Messina CGM, Toerien E, et al. The value of in vitro diagnostic testing in medical practice: A status report. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0149856. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149856>

McCall SJ, Souers RJ, Blond B, Massie L. Physician satisfaction with clinical laboratory services: A College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of 81 institutions. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2016;140(10):1098–103. <https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2015-0486-CP>

- Kunzharikova D, Kaliyeva AE, Baspakova A, Kurmangaliyeva SS, Alkaubayeva A. Patient Satisfaction with Laboratory Services: Current Trends and Strategies for Quality Improvement. *J Environ Treat Tech* [Internet]. 2025;13(1):52–61. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.18502/jett.v13i1.18699>
- Batbaatar E, Dorjdagva J, Luvsannyam A, Savino MM, Amenta P. Determinants of patient satisfaction: A systematic review. *Perspect Public Health*. 2017;137(2):89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1757913916634136>
- Akthar N, Nayak S, Pai PY. Determinants of patient satisfaction in Asia: Evidence from systematic review of literature. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health*. 2023;23:101393. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cegh.2023.101393>
- Opeyemi AA, Obeagu EI, Hassan AO. Enhancing quality healthcare in Nigeria through medical laboratory services: A review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2024;103(2):e36869. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000036869>
- Allison FI, Ojule AA, Allison I. Medical Laboratories in Nigeria (Part 1): Assessment of Quality Management Practices and Accreditation Status. *Eur J Med Health Sci*. 2024;6(2):21–9. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejmed.2024.6.2.1988>
- Mullela D, Jaleta F, Banti H, Bekele B, Abebe W, Tadesse H, et al. The Impact of Laboratory Quality Management System Implementation on Quality Laboratory Service Delivery in Health Center Laboratories of Oromia Region, Ethiopia. *Pathol Lab Med Int*. 2021;13:7–19. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PLMI.S314656>
- Osinaike AO, Omotosho AY, Adefala NO, Okwudishu OT, Gbadebo AA, Ogunkoya JO. Patients' Satisfaction with the Quality of Medical Laboratory Services in a Nigerian Private Tertiary Health Facility. *Ann Health Res*. 2025;11(3):243–52. <https://doi.org/10.30442/ahr.1103-03-290>
- Hailu HA, Desale A, Yalew A, Asrat H, Kebede S, Dejene D, et al. Patients' satisfaction with clinical laboratory services in public hospitals in Ethiopia. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2020;20(1):27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4880-9>
- Schroeder LF, Amukele T. Medical laboratories in sub-Saharan Africa that meet international quality standards. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2014;141(6):791–5. <https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCPQ5KTKAGSSCFN>
- Mbah H, Ojo E, Ameh J, Musuluma H, Negedu-Momoh OR, Jegede F, et al. Piloting laboratory quality system management in six health facilities in Nigeria. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12):e116185. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116185>
- Teklemariam Z, Mekonnen A, Kedir H, Kabew G. Clients and clinician satisfaction with laboratory services at selected government hospitals in eastern Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2013;6(1):15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-15>
- Erhabor O, Adias GC, Dangana TC. Provision of a Quality, Customer-Focussed and Continually Improving Laboratory Service in Nigeria. *Sokoto J Med Lab Sci* [Internet]. 2018;3(3):1–10. Available from: <https://sokjmls.com.ng/index.php/SJMLS/article/view/241>
- Kaushik N, Khangulov VS, O'Hara M, Arnaout R. Reduction in laboratory turnaround time decreases emergency room length of stay. *Open Access Emerg Med*. 2018;10:37–45. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OAEM.S155988>
- Rusanganwa V, Gahutu JB, Hurtig AK, Evander M. Physicians' satisfaction with clinical referral laboratories in Rwanda. *Glob Health Action*. 2020;13(1):1834965. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2020.1834965>

- Alelign A, Belay YA. Patient satisfaction with clinical laboratory services and associated factors among adult patients attending outpatient departments at Debre Markos referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019;12(1):524. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4558-8>
- Michael G, Aliyu I, Grema B, Thacher T. Impact of structural and interpersonal components of health care on user satisfaction with services of an outpatient clinic of a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *Trop J Med Res*. 2017;20(2):139–44. https://doi.org/10.4103/tjmr.tjmr_22_17
- Allison FI, Oche OA. Quality Assurance in Medical Laboratories in Developing Countries: Assessment of Pre-Analytical Errors in a Chemical Pathology Laboratory in a Tertiary Hospital in Nigeria. *J Health Med Sci*. 2020;3(1):53–60. <https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1994.03.01.90>
- Kinyenje E, Ngowi RR, Msigwa YS, Hokororo JC, Yahya TA, German CJ, et al. Status of countrywide laboratory services quality and capacity in primary healthcare facilities in Tanzania: Findings from Star Rating Assessment. *PLOS Glob Public Health*. 2023;3(10):e0001489. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0001489>
- Adewoyin A, Ogbenna AA, Hartevelde CL. Laboratory quality systems in clinical laboratory practice in Lagos, Nigeria (West Africa): Associated problems and prospects. *Int J Lab Hematol*. 2019;41(4):463–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.13038>
- Nwanaji-Enwerem O, Bain P, Marks Z, Nwanaji-Enwerem P, Staton CA, Olufadeji A, et al. Patient satisfaction with the Nigerian National Health Insurance Scheme two decades since establishment: A systematic review and recommendations for improvement. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2022;14(1):e1-e10. <https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v14i1.3003>
- Elbireer AM, Jackson JB, Sendagire H, Opio A, Bagenda D, Amukele TK. The Good, the Bad, and the Unknown: Quality of Clinical Laboratories in Kampala, Uganda. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e64661. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064661>
- Derua YA, Ishengoma DRS, Tenu F, Massaga JJ, Mboera LEG, Magesa SM. Users' and health service providers' perception on quality of laboratory malaria diagnosis in Tanzania. *Malar J*. 2011;10:78. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-10-78>
- Omiyi D, Arubuola E, Chilaka M, Rahman Jabin MS. Migration of Health Workers and Its Impacts on the Nigerian Health Care Sector: Protocol for a Scoping Review. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2025;14:e62726. <https://doi.org/10.2196/62726>
- Muhibi MA, Olawale FM, Opeyemi MM. A review on the trend of Medical Laboratory Scientists training in Africa. *Sokoto J Med Lab Sci*. 2024;9(2):36–44. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sokjmls.v9i2.5>
- Silas OA, Abdulkareem F, Novo JE, Zheng Y, Nannini DR, Gusel DB, et al. Telepathology in Nigeria for Global Health Collaboration. *Ann Glob Health*. 2022;88(1):46. <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.3673>
- Dubale BW, Friedman LE, Chemali Z, Denninger JW, Mehta DH, Alem A, et al. Systematic review of burnout among healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19:1247. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7566-7>
- Nwosu ADG, Ossai E, Onwuasoigwe O, Ezeigweneme M, Okpamen J. Burnout and presenteeism among healthcare workers in Nigeria: Implications for patient care, occupational health and workforce productivity. *J Public Health Res*. 2022;11(1):1900. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jphr.2021.1900>
- Chitha WW, Mnyaka OR, Ncinitwa A, Giwu O, Mashao T, Mabophe K, et al. Assessing patients' experience of care in four referral hospitals: a cross-sectional survey of outpatients in two South African rural provinces. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2024;24(1):12002. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-12002-y>

- Tossaint-Schoenmakers R, Versluis A, Chavannes N, Talboom-Kamp E, Kasteleyn M. The challenge of integrating eHealth into health care: Systematic literature review of the donabedian model of structure, process, and outcome. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23(5):e27180. <https://doi.org/10.2196/27180>
- Tadele G, Ejeta E, Desalegn M, Abere S, Elias K. Patients Satisfaction on Clinical Laboratory Services at Nekemte Referral Hospital, Oromia, Ethiopia. *Food Sci Qual Manag [Internet].* 2014;30:13–8. Available from: <https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/FSQM/article/view/15014>
- Boyar K, Pham A, Swantek S, Ward G, Herman G. Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS). In: Opie SR, editor. *Cannabis Laboratory Fundamentals.* Cham: Springer; 2021. p. 131–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62716-4_7
- Elrobaa IH, Khan K, Mohamed E. The Role of Point-of-Care Testing to Improve Acute Care and Health Care Services. *Cureus.* 2024;16(3):e55315. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.55315>
- Al Wadai AM, Alyami MSA, Asiri EA, Alabdali AFH, Almutairi SMN, Albin Hamad AMH, et al. Laboratory Information Management Systems (LIMS): Streamlining Operations in Medical Labs. *Power Syst Technol.* 2024;48(4):4417–36. <https://powertechjournal.com/index.php/journal/article/view/1281>
- ul Islam S, Kamboj K, Kumari A. Laboratory Automation and its Effects on Workflow Efficiency in Medical Laboratories. *Middle East J Appl Sci Technol.* 2023;6(4):88–97. <https://doi.org/10.46431/MEJAST.2023.6407>
- International Finance Corporation. IFC, Proparco, and Cerba Lancet Africa Partner to Expand Diagnostic Capacity, Support COVID-19 Response in Africa [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Dec 18]. Available from: <https://www.ifc.org/en/pressroom/2021/ifc-proparco-and-cerba-lancet-africa-partner-to-expand-diagnostic-capacity-support-covid-19-response-in-africa>
- World Health Organization. Stepwise Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA) Checklist Version 2:2015 for Clinical and Public Health Laboratories [Internet]. Brazzaville: World Health Organization; 2015. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/204423>
- Maruta T, Motebang D, Mathabo L, Rotz PJ, Wanyoike J, Peter T. Impact of mentorship on WHO-AFRO Strengthening Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA). *Afr J Lab Med.* 2012;1(1):6. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajlm.v1i1.6>
- Atanda A, Yusuf I, Haruna M. Perceived and real histopathology turnaround time: A teaching hospital experience. *Niger J Surg.* 2017;23(1):28–32. https://doi.org/10.4103/njs.njs_4_17
- Mesfin EA, Taye B, Belay G, Ashenafi A, Girma V. Factors affecting quality of laboratory services in public and private health facilities in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Electron J Int Fed Clin Chem Lab Med [Internet].* 2017;28(3):205–23. Available from: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5655637/>
- Mbah H, Negedu-Momoh OR, Adedokun O, Ikani PA, Balogun O, Sanwo O, et al. Implementing and measuring the level of laboratory service integration in a program setting in Nigeria. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(9):e107277. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107277>
- Muhammad ZT, Kawu AA, Abdullahi I, Bawa M. Usability Evaluation of Mobile USSD-SMS Interactive Result Checking System for Resource-Constrained Settings (MIRCS) using System Usability Score (SUS). *Sinkron.* 2023;8(2):661–71. <https://doi.org/10.33395/sinkron.v8i2.12383>
- Maruta T, Motebang D, Mathabo L, Rotz PJ, Wanyoike J, Peter T. Impact of mentorship on WHO-AFRO Strengthening Laboratory Quality Improvement Process Towards Accreditation (SLIPTA). *Afr J Lab Med.* 2012;1(1):6. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajlm.v1i1.6>

Alemnji GA, Zeh C, Yao K, Fonjungo PN. Strengthening national health laboratories in sub-Saharan Africa: A decade of remarkable progress. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2014;19(4):450–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12269>

Farley H, Enguidanos ER, Coletti CM, Honigman L, Mazzeo A, Pinson TB, et al. Patient satisfaction surveys and quality of care: An information paper. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2014;64(4):351–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2014.02.021>

Audu RA, Sylvester-Ikundu U, Onwuamah CK, Salu OB, Ige FA, Meshack E, et al. Experience of quality management system in a clinical laboratory in Nigeria. *Afr J Lab Med*. 2012;1(1):18. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ajlm.v1i1.18>

Gershy-Damet GM, Rotz P, Cross D, Belabbes EH, Cham F, Ndihokubwayo JB, et al. The World Health Organization African region laboratory accreditation process: Improving the quality of laboratory systems in the African region. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2010;134(3):393–400. <https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCPTUUC2V1WJQBM>